Research Article **Open Access**

Human, Urban and Environmental-Induced Alterations in Mangroves Pattern along Arabian Gulf Coast, Eastern Province, KSA

Sameh A Amin[1]*, Mai S Fouad[1], and **Mohammad A Zyada[2&3]**

[1]*Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, University of Dammam, Saudi Arabia*
[2]*Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, University of Dammam, KSA*
[3]*Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Damietta University, Egypt*

Article Info

*Corresponding author:
Sameh A Amin
Department of Biology
Faculty of Science
University of Dammam
Dammam 34212
Saudi Arabia
E-mail: saismail@uod.edu.sa

Abstract

Significant changes have occurred at Arabian Gulf Coast of Saudi Arabia over the last three decades. The area of mangrove was reduced by about 55%. Thus the economic, social, and environmental value of mangroves must be assessed over short- to long-term scales and employ these assessments for the awareness rising at local communities. This study provides a preliminary assessment of the risks on mangrove vegetation; it will provide database to mitigate the tremendous pressures due to coastal development and urban activities. The effects of human development on the mangrove plant cover in Eastern Region of KSA were recognised, during 2013 to 2016. The mean variations of physio-chemical characteristics in water and sediment were recorded. With regard to water analyses including; nitrogen, phosphorus, TSS, TDS, BOD, and turbidity were evaluated. On the other hand, for sediment: TDS, nitrogen, phosphorus, sulphate and total organic carbon were assessed. Moreover, the growth parameters: plant height, and size index, of *Avicennia marina* were recorded and estimated. The results revels that the mangrove communities subjected to severe degradation and depletion. It concluded that human impact and urban developments have exerted drastic effects on the coastal ecosystems and its environments.

Keywords: Mangrove; *Avicennia marina*; Size Index; Sediment; Urban Development; Human Impacts.

Introduction

Mangroves alleviate devastating effects of erosion, storm surges and flooding of coastlines [1]. Moreover, the importance of coastal intertidal mangrove habitats comprises their contributions in increasing the sedimentation rate [2], acting as a physical and biogeochemical barrier for contaminants attacking coastal estuaries and other water bodies [3,4]. Mangroves also helping in the accumulation and partitioning of trace elements in the rhizosphere [1]. Considered as woody plants mangroves inhabit intertidal zones with high salinity [5] and can tolerate a wide range of salinities under natural conditions [6]. Also mangroves have wide ecological amplitude ranging from tropical to temperate regions across all continents and dominate large extents of shorelines, estuaries and islands in tropical and subtropical regions worldwide forming biologically important and productive transitional coastal ecosystems [7-9]. As mangroves are considered as assemblages of trees and shrubs, they sustain both ecological and economical services in ecosystems [10], and playing an important role in both biogeochemical cycles and economic activities [11]. About 80 species of true mangrove trees/shrubs are recognised, from which around 50-60 species

Madridge J Case Rep Stud.
ISSN: 2639-4553

Volume 2 • Issue 2 • 1000124

94

make a significant contribution to the structure of mangrove forests. Species diversity is much higher in the Southeast Asian Region, where approximately two-thirds of all species are found, while approximately 15 species exist in Africa and 10 species in the Americas [12]. It is known that more than 90 % of the world's mangroves are located in developing countries [13]. The trees of several genera are economically valuable for timber or fuel wood, especially *Rhizophora* species. Since, more than one-third of the global human population lives along coastal areas, their long-term sustainability depends on the coastal ecosystems [14]. However, human activities and interventions within and near these mangrove areas have led to their degradation and the resources therein [7,12]. Large areas of mangrove have been converted into fishponds, salt ponds, agriculture and coastal projects [15]. Over the globe, due to disturbance of species distribution, mangroves have been disappearing at an alarming rate worldwide by an annual rate of 1-2% [16]. The loss of mangrove forests has increased from regions of highly anticipated rise in global temperature [17]. Thus, mangroves may vanish if the destruction of their ecosystems continues repeatedly [13].

Mangrove plant covers are found along some coastlines of the arid Arabian Peninsula [18]. They are present in the form of fragmented stands in many tidal areas on the Red Sea and the Arabian Gulf coast, south of latitude 26°C north. They consist mainly of *Avicennia marina* trees. On the coast of the Red Sea, *Avicennia marina* is accompanied by a few of *Rhizophora mucronata*, however it is very rare in Saudi Arabian Gulf [11]. Mangrove ecosystems are limited along Arabian Gulf and they are confined to Dammam area (Taraut Bay), with well-developed communities consisting of *Avicennia marina*. The inter-tidal mangrove environment of Eastern Region of Arabian Coast is very important as it supports the local fishing activities, nursery grounds for many fish, crustaceans and shellfish species, as well as being central for ecotourism activities. Also due to the consistently diminishing erosion resistance, the extent of mangrove plant cover along the Saudi Arabian Gulf has been considerably decreased. Moreover, in the Arabian Gulf area mangrove ecosystems have been principally affected by the large oil spills from the Gulf War [19]. It is also threatened by the expansion of human settlements, the boom in commercial aquaculture, the impact of tidal waves and storm surges. Noticeably, in Taraut Bay it has exhibited drastic reduction due to major stressors including landfilling, dredging, coastal development, solid and liquid waste disposal [20].

It is not too late to renew the loss in productivity of the mangrove areas, and their plant cover can be rehabilitated and maintained [21]. The present study conducted to frame the human impact assessing the physico-chemical parameters on mangrove vegetation in Dammam area (Figureure 1), especially at Taraut Island. In addition, the current work is an attempt to demonstrate threats and difficulties that are facing mangroves in their natural habitats. Also, this work outlines the severity of ecological implications that disturb the coastal ecotone where mangrove thrives.


Figureure 1. Map of the sampling sites at the Eastern Region of Arabian Gulf, KSA.

Materials and Methods

Study site

Satellite, Google Earth, pictures, images and geographic information systems provide collectively useful tools to detect and map the temporal variation in mangroves coverage [22, 23]. For this purpose the temporal changes in the geographic distribution of mangroves along the Arabian Gulf Coast at Dammam Region-El QatifCity, Taraut Island-(Figureure 1) have been studied by conducting the historical Landsat Multispectral Scanner (MSS) and Landsat Enhanced Thematic Mapper (ETM) adopted by Riaza A et al. [22]. New changes in these maps and images were made in the present work through field studies (Figureures 2-4).


Figureure 2. Satellite image of mangroves area (622 ha) in 1973.

Figureure 3: Satellite image of mangroves area (482 ha) in 1999.

Figureure 4. Satellite image of mangroves area (green color) 392ha, and red circles are threatened areas endangering their survival during the present decade.

Field measurements

a) Vegetation: Nine locations were selected across mangrove forest (Figureure 1). Three transects were outlined and laid out in such a way to represent the variations of mangrove trees at each site. The following criteria were estimated namely: abundance and growth parameters (the plant height, size index, leaf area, number of main and lateral branches, number and height of aerial roots and number of seedlings/m^2) of mangrove were measured at each site to evaluate the growth rate.

b) Water and sediment sampling and analysis: Across a distance of about 8 to 10 km along the Arabia Gulf Coast of Eastern Region, KSA (Figureure 1), monthly visits were arranged to the studied area throughout the period from January 2013 to January 2016 collecting water and sediment samples from the same experimental locations. Surface water and sediment were gathered randomly from a depth of 0-15 cm. Surface water and sediments were analyzed to determine both physical and chemical characteristics. The concentration in water and sediment are expressed in mg/Land in mg/kg dry weight respectively.

The experimental results were extended to measure pH and turbidity of the water *in-situ* (in duplicate); using the electrometric method for pH and the Nephelometric method for turbidity as per standard methods [24]. The biological oxygen demand (BOD) was determined following the method described in a study by American Public Health Association [25], while TDS is measured according to standard method of American Public Health Association [24].

Consequently, the collected sediment samples were air dried and then crushed for further analysis. A 5 g of field-moist soil was thoroughly mixed with 25 ml distilled water in polyethylene centrifuge tubes and placed on a spinning wheel for 2 h on termination of the shaking the soil-water slurry was left to settle for 10 min and its pH was measured using an Orion 290A pH meter [26]. Also, the sediment organic matter (TOC) was determined according to American Public Health Association [24].

Phosphorus concentration was estimated using a colorimetric assay as described by Reef R et al. [27]. Also the method described by Marinho CC et al. [28] was used to quantify the nitrogen content in the soil samples. It has to be noted that this method is a modification of the standard Kjeldhal-N method. Furthermore, soil samples were analyzed for Sulphates estimation according to the method adopted by Jackson ML [29] by titration against $BaCl_2$ in presence of tetrahydroxy-quinone as indicator.

c) Human impact assessment: As Tarout Island is predominantly considered as one of the most ancient sites that were inhabited by humans, moreover, the Island had a significant role in trading purposes in the entire Arabian-Gulf region (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tarout_Island). Since the island has a legacy of severe human-induced environmental degradation over its existence, therefore the effect of landfilling, dredging, coastal development and solid waste disposal are presently studied regarding mangrove ecosystem. Therefore, it is mandatory in this present work to quantify anthropogenic disturbance and fouling in terms of degrees (0,-1,-2) on the biodiversity of the sites under investigation.

Statistical analysis

Analyses of variance one way ANOVA for the water, sediment and mangrove abundance (one-way and two-ways) were carried out. The same analyses were done for mangroves biodiversity. This analysis showed a strong significant difference for both one-way (sites) and two-ways (sites and parameters), $P < 0.05$: 0.01.

Madridge J Case Rep Stud.
ISSN: 2639-4553

Volume 2 • Issue 2 • 1000124

96

Results

The recorded data in tables 1 and 2, clarifies the values of physico-chemical characteristics of water and soil at the investigated sites of Arabian Gulf coast in Saudi Arabia, respectively. The average measured values of pH are within the alkaline range. However, total dissolved solids (TDS) exhibits normal concentrations with relatively steady rates in most samples, site I exhibits the maximum TDS in water (44500 ± 4000 mg/ L). Meanwhile, site V showed the highest total suspended solids (TSS) magnitude (5.4 mg/L) followed by site VI. The rest of specimens showed a descending trend.

Figureure 6. Landfilled area in Taraut Island and Dammam, during last 40 years.

Table 1. Some physico-chemical features of water supporting the growth of mangroves at inspected sites of Arabian Gulf Coast, Eastern Region, KSA.

Parameter / Site	pH	Turbidity (NTU)	Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (mg/L)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS) (mg/L)	Total Phosphorus (TP) (mg/L)	Total Nitrogen (TN) (mg/L)	Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) (mg/L)
I	8.1 ± 0.5	5.8 ± 0.9	44500 ± 4000	1.8 ± 0.4	2.4 ± 0. 4	1.4 ± 0. 2	7.9 ± 0.4
II	8.1 ± 0.4	6.1 ± 0.8	41300 ± 2400	1.5 ± 1.1	2.1 ± 0.2	1.3 ± 0.3	8.8 ± 1.1
III	8.1 ± 0.4	12.2 ± 1.2	41500 ± 3000	1.8 ± 0.5	2.1 ± 0.3	1.4 ± 0.2	9.8 ± 2.1
IV	8.0 ± 0.5	8.2 ± 1.8	42000 ± 1700	1.9 ± 1.1	3.1 ± 0.8	1.6 ± 0.2	9.6 ± 1.6
V	7.9 ± 0.5	8.2 ± 1.1	36500 ± 3100	5.4 ± 2.7	5.1 ± 1.1	6.5 ± 0.9	18.8 ± 3.1
VI	8.0 ± 0.3	7.2 ± 1.3	37800 ± 2000	3.1 ± 0.9	3.9 ± 0.7	3.1 ± 0.6	10.8 ± 1.3
VII	8.1 ± 0.4	5.5 ± 0.7	38000 ± 3000	1.6 ± 0. 9	3.4 ± 0.9	2.2 ± 0.4	9.2 ± 2.4
VIII	8.2 ± 0.5	4.5 ± 0.6	40200 ± 2200	2.2 ± 1.1	2.9 ± 0.6	2.1 ± 0.3	8.9 ± 1.1
IX	8.2 ± 1.1	5.2 ± 1.1	40500 ± 2400	2.1 ± 1.1	2.9 ± 0.7	2.3 ± 0.2	8.8 ± 2.3

Table 2. Some physico-chemical features of sediment supporting the growth of mangroves at inspected sites of Arabian Gulf Coast, Eastern Region, KSA.

Parameter / Sites	pH	Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (mg/kg)	Total Organic Carbon (TOC) (mg/kg)	Total Phosphorus (TP) (mg/kg)	Total Nitrogen (TN) (mg/kg)	Sulfate (mg/kg)
I	8.0 ± 0.3	39500 ± 3000	4.8 ± 0.6	2.2 ± 0. 1	1.9 ± 0. 3	3500 ± 450
II	8.0 ± 0.2	38700 ± 2200	4.5 ± 0.7	2.1 ± 0.1	1.8 ± 0.3	3000 ± 400
III	7.9 ± 0.3	37500 ± 2500	5.8 ± 0.5	2.2 ± 0.2	1.9 ± 0.4	2400 ± 260
IV	7.9 ± 0.4	37000 ± 2000	7.9 ± 1.1	3.3 ± 0.6	2.3 ± 0.4	2500 ± 200
V	7.7 ± 0.3	33500 ± 2400	11.4 ± 2.7	5.4 ± 0.9	5.9 ± 0.7	1600 ± 200
VI	8.0 ± 0.4	34800 ± 2100	7.1 ± 1.9	3.6 ± 0.4	3.2 ± 0.4	1800 ± 200
VII	8.0 ± 0.3	35000 ± 2300	6.6 ± 0. 9	3.1 ± 0.3	2.4 ± 0.3	2100 ± 300
VIII	8.0 ± 0.4	36200 ± 2000	5.2 ± 1.1	2.8 ± 0.6	2.6 ± 0.4	2900 ± 400
IX	8.0 ± 0.3	36500 ± 2500	5.1 ± 1.1	2.9 ± 0.4	2.9 ± 0.7	3100 ± 500

(Mean values ± SE)

The turbidity measurement was found to have the highest values in site III (12.2 NTU) followed by sites IV and V when compared to other locations. Since Phytoplankton sediments from erosion and re-suspended sediments arising from agitation of the bottom sediments, comprises some detritus particles which are light, remain suspended in water. Table 3 exhibits a list of anthropogenic actions including waste discharge, trampling is the more effective activity and has much negative impact followed by sewage water drainage. Site II is the most affected locality, wherever it affected negatively by all investigated human activities (Table 3).

Table 3: Anthropogenic impact on the biodiversity at the inspected sites.

Site / Human Impact	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX
Diving & Boat anchor	0	-2	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0
Fishing	-2	-2	-1	0	0	-1	0	0	0
Sewage drainage water	0	-2	-2	-1	-1	0	-1	-1	-1
Agricultural waste water	0	0	-1	-2	-1	0	-1	-1	0
Trampling	-1	-1	-2	-2	-2	-1	-2	-2	-1
Grazing (sheep and camels)	0	-1	-1	-1	0	0	-1	0	0

Impact degree: 0 is neutral, -1 negative impact, -2 much negative impact.

Regarding the total phosphorus and nitrogen, biochemical oxygen demand BOD and total organic carbon TOC, site V followed by site IV recorded the maximum values when compared to other sites (Table 3). Site I showed the lowest concentrations.

Madridge J Case Rep Stud.
ISSN: 2639-4553

Volume 2 • Issue 2 • 1000124

97

For all the investigated sites, the amount of sulfates (SO_4^{2-}) exceeds 1600 mg/kg, a result that is emphasized by Reddy and D'Angelo [30] stating that the minimum value of the sulfates in the coastal area must not be less than 2 ppm. On the other hand, the acceptable range of nitrogen in the soil is between 10 ppm to 60 ppm. Hence, nitrogen is lower than the normal range over all sites. The distribution of P and N_2 are associated with organic matter. Apart from site V, variations recorded for the sites are relatively small, and may be associated with contrasting sedimentation patterns, which is in accordance with [28].

Table 3 and Figureure 5 particularly at site V could be referred to the increased levels of organic carbon. TOC levels imply that the sediments inside Mangrove forest could retain organic matter.

Investigation of table 4 showing that growth parameters of *Avicennia marina* growing in studied areas affected by the physico-chemical features of both water and sediments, and by anthropogenic impacts of human activities.

Table 4. Some growth parameter of Avicennia marina at inspected sites of Arabian Gulf Coast, Eastern Region, KSA

Parameter Sites	Plant height (cm)	Leaf area (cm²)	Size index	No. of main branches/plant	No. of lateral branches/plant	No. of seedlings/m²	No. of aerial roots/m²	height of aerial roots (cm)
I	160 ± 29.14	5.10 ± 0.91	96.85 ± 28.31	9.33 ± 0.92	67.75 ± 4.65	16.00 ± 1.61	19 ± 1.33	14 ± 1.05
II	180 ± 28.33	8.04 ± 1.23	185.77 ± 37.36	21.88 ± 1.26	91.46 ± 8.56	63.61 ± 2.91	38 ± 2.81	20 ± 1.75
III	176 ± 19.68	7.56 ± 0.89	228.76 ± 31.17	20.42 ± 0.56	101.27 ± 08.35	48.31 ± 3.10	45 ± 6.16	24 ± 1.91
IV	155 ± 11.12	6.25 ± 0.79	87.50 ± 9.27	6.12 ± 0.72	19.56 ± 1.99	26.21 ± 0.68	22 ± 1.37	16 ± 1.10
V	200 ± 28.74	8.30 ± 0.96	375.42 ± 21.36	24.67 ± 0.98	125. ± 9.58	181.42 ± 17.24	65 ± 8.25	30 ± 1.89
VI	174 ± 11.48	6.96 ± 1.62	203.22 ± 60	13.12 ± 0.65	85.12 ± 4.98	54.91 ± 2.42	42 ± 17.24	18 ± 1.14
VII	185 ± 05.92	9.16 ± 1.61	292.64 ± 74.36	22.19 ± 1.03	127.83 ± 12.30	140.41 ± 9.08	60 ± 12.38	25 ± 1.35
VIII	210 ± 18.92	12.13 ± 1.92	380.72 ± 47.21	25.50 ± 0.45	145.87 ± 20.40	192.33 ± 68.16	50 ± 15.01	28 ± 1.26
IX	130 ± 17.85	4.95 ± 1.30	103.12 ± 40	4.96 ± 0.85	27.56 ± 2.76	14.12 ± 0.58	15 ± 1.66	11 ± 1.19

(Mean values ± SE)

Discussion

According the high primary productivity of mangroves, it turnover rates of organic matter and the permanent exchange with the terrestrial and marine ecosystems [31]. Mangroves enable and supports biological life in agreement with previous studies [32,33]. Mangroves are well known for their halophytic characteristics [34], which allow them to survive in high salinity through certain mechanisms of salt tolerance [35]. Several studies have reported that *Avicennia marina* is largely predominant in high saline environments, where salinity is shown to be ≥ 25 ppt during most of the months of the year [36, 37]. Moreover, *Avicennia marina* is a facultative halophyte having various adaptations for hyper saline environments [38,39], urban runoff and fishing yield to an aggravated problem. Guhathakurta and Kaviraj [40] demonstrated similar deductions. The largest values of total phosphorus and nitrogen, biochemical oxygen demand BOD and total organic carbon TOC in sediment could be referred to the increased concentration in water [41]. Sulfur is an essential chemical component which is used as sulfate (SO_4^{2-}) for the structure of the plants and different biological processes [42]. Turbidity could be employed to provide an estimation of total dissolved solids.

Additionally, the decayed litter released nutrients into sediments, which would contribute to the increase of organic matter in the sediments. Consequently, Mangrove plants improve the sediment fertility and promote the physicochemical properties of the intertidal habitat, which would influence the biogeochemical processes of other elements in the sediment [43]. During Mangrove succession, the diversity and biomass of the plant community increase; therefore, the matured Mangrove community provides an abundance of sediment biogenic matter, such as SOM, TC, TN, TP and TK [44].

Plasticity of mangrove seedlings in environmental conditions is common but has mainly been related to other a biotic factors such as light or water availability [45]. Owing to the richness of some investigated locations with nutrients, hence the high abundance and growth parameters "plant height, size, number of main and lateral branches" of *Avicinia marina* at sites V, VII and VIII were noticed as compared to the other sites, while the lowest density was obtained at sites I, IV and IX. Human impact was recorded at all sites, where urban development was clear in sites IV, V, VI and VIII [46].

Table 3 and Figureure 5 dealing with the human impact on the mangroves in the studied sites through illustrating the quantity of waste water drained in the sites under consideration. Thus, the elevated amount of nutrients in water and sediment may be attributed to the increase of sewage and agricultural drainage water at these sites, wastes from ships and boats and in addition to the decomposition of dead plants, particularly at site V that could be referred to the increased levels of organic carbon. TOC levels imply that the sediments inside Mangrove forest could retain organic matter.


Figureure 5: The quantity of waste water drained into the studied

Madridge J Case Rep Stud.
ISSN: 2639-4553

Volume 2 • Issue 2 • 1000124

98

sites.

Significant changes have occurred in Saudi Arabia Gulf coast over the last three decades. Figureures 2 and 3 showed the Satellite image of mangroves area (622 ha) in 1973 which is reduced to an area of 482 ha in 1999 [46]. Many aspects of development were recorded in Dammam and Qatif regions that negatively affect the mangrove plant cover. Figureure 4 shows the last urban disturbance on mangrove which results in plant cover reduction from 482 ha to 390 ha during 1999 to 2009. In a close observation, Figureure 6 shows the landfilling in the studied area during the last four decades. There is a negative impact on the mangrove occurrence as a result of the coastal development that include dredging, filling, and other activities like oil pollution and drainage water (Figureure 5).

Conclusion

The present work emphasizes the ability of *Avecinnea marina* to overcome contamination and human disturbances in the ambient environment. The economic, social, and environmental value of mangrove must be assessed for awareness rising of local communities. However, greater losses in fringe mangroves and an increased area of basin mangroves can be related to sea-level rise and increased mean air temperatures that in turn related to current climate change [47]. Climate change is a futuristic problem creating stress to the entire biosphere. The overexploitations of mangrove forest and oil pollution are considered the main destruction factors in the Arabian Gulf [49]. Wide distribution of mangrove is ascribed to its adaptation resilience. A conducted trial In Saudi Arabia, remote sensing data was used to locate suitable sites for mangrove plantation along the Red Sea Coast [49].

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

References

1. Zhou YW, Peng YS, Li XL, Chen GZ. Accumulation and partitioning of heavy metals in mangrove rhizosphere sediments. *Environ Earth Sci*. 2011; 64: 799-807. doi: 10.1007/s12665-011-0904-4

2. Thampanya U, Vermaat JE, Sinsakul S, Panapitukkul N. Coastal erosion and mangrove progradation of Southern Thailand. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science.* 2006; 68(1-2): 75-85. doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2006.01.011

3. Chowdhury R, Lyubun Y, Favas PJ, Sakar SK. Phytoremediation potential of selected mangrove plants for trace metal contamination in Indian Sundarban Wetland. In: Ansari A, Gill S, Gill R, Lanza G, Newman L (eds). Phytoremediation. *Springer, Cham.* 2016; 4: 283-310. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-41811-7_15

4. Qiu YW, Yu KF, Zhang G, Wang WX. Accumulation and partitioning of seven trace metals in mangroves and sediment cores from three estuarine wetlands of Hainan island, China. *J Hazard Mater*. 2011; 190(1-3): 631-638. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.03.091

5. Parida AK, Jha B. Salt tolerance mechanisms in mangroves: a review. *Trees-Structure and Function*. 2010; 24: 199-217.

6. Liang S, Zhou RC, Dong SS, Shi SH. Adaptation to salinity in mangrove: implication on the evolution of salt tolerance. *Chin Sci Bull*. 2008; 55: 1708-1 doi: 10.1007/s11434-008-0221-9 715.

7. Alongi DM. Present state and future of the world's mangrove forests. *Environ Conserv*. 2002; 29: 331-349. doi: 10.1017/S0376892902000231

8. Soares MLG, Duque-Estrada GC, Fernandez V, Tognella MMP. Southern limit of the Western South Atlantic Mangroves: Assessment of the potential effects of global warming from a biogeographical perspective. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*. 2012; 101: 44-53. doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2012.02.018

9. Wang Y, Qiu Q, Xin G, et al. Heavy metal contamination in a vulnerable mangrove swamp in South China. *Environ Monit Assess*. 2013; 185: 5775-5787. doi: 10.1007/s10661-012-2983-4

10. Alongi DM. The Energetics of Mangrove Forests. *Springer*. 2009; doi: 10.1007/978-1-4020-4271-3

11. Thua PM, Populus J. Status and changes of mangrove forest in Mekong Delta: (Case study in TraVinh, Vietnam. *Estuarine and Coastal Science*. 2006; 71: 98-109. doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2006.08.007

12. FAO. The World's Mangroves 1980-2005. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United States, Rome, 2007.

13. Duke NC, Meynecke J, Dittmann S, Ellison AM, Anger K, Berger U. A world without Mangroves? *Science*. 2007; 317: 41-42. doi: 10.1126/science.317.5834.41b

14. Barbier EB, Koch EW, Siliman BR, Hacker SD, Wolanski E. Coastal ecosystem-based management with nonlinear ecological functions and values. *Science*. 2008; 318: 321-323. doi: 10.1126/science.1150349

15. Ellison AM. Managing mangroves with benthic biodiversity in mind: moving beyond roving banditry. *J Sea Res*. 2008; 59: 2-15. doi: 10.1016/j.seares.2007.05.003

16. Lewis RR. Mangrove field of dreams: if we build it, will they come? SWS Research Brief July, 2009; 1-4.

17. Koch MS, Coronado C, Miller MW, et al. Climate change projected effects on coastal foundation communities of the Greater Everglades using a 2060 scenario: need for a new management paradigm. *Environ Manag*. 2015; 55: 857-875. doi: 10.1007/s00267-014-0375-y

18. Balakrishnan P. Application of remote sensing for mangrove mapping: a case study of Al-Dhakira, the State of Qatar. *J Earth Sci Engineer*. 2012; 2: 602-612.

19. Saenger P. Management of Mangroves in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Riyadh Saline Water Conversion Corporation and Ministry for Agriculture and Water. 1993.

20. Danish EY. Ecological impact from chemicals in the Arabian Gulf due to Gulf oil spill. *Water Environ J*. 2010; 24: 65-73. doi: 10.1111/j.1747-6593.2008.00145.x

21. Palis H. Guidebook on the phenology and identification of Philippine mangrove species. ERDB, College 4031, Laguna. 1998.

22. Riaza A, Martinez-Torres ML, Ramon-Lluch R, Alonso J, Heras, P. Evolution of equatorial vegetation communities mapped using Thematic Mapper images through a geographical information system (Guinea, Equatorial Africa). *Int J Remote Sens*. 1998; 19: 43-54. doi: 10.1080/014311698216413

23. Verstraete MM, Brink AB, Scholes RJ, Beniston M, Smith MS. Climate change and desertification: where do we stand, where should we go? *Global and Planetary Change*. 2008; 64: 105-110. doi: 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2008.09.003

24. Clesceri LS, APHA. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. Volume 21. American Public Health Association, Washington, DC. 2005.

25. APHA, AWWA, WPCF. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Waste water. 16th edition. Washington DC. American Public Health Association, 1985.

26. Birch GF, Vanderhayden M, Olmos M. The nature and distribution of metals in soils of the Sydney estuary catchment, Australia. *Water Air Soil Pollut*. 2011; 216: 581-604. doi: 10.1007/s11270-010-0555-1

Madridge J Case Rep Stud.
ISSN: 2639-4553

Volume 2 • Issue 2 • 1000124

99

27. Reef R, Lovelock CE. Historical analysis of mangrove leaf traits throughout the 19th and 20th centuries reveals differential responses to increases in atmospheric CO_2. *Global Ecology Biogeography*. 2014; 23(11): 1209-1214. doi: 10.1111/geb.12211.

28. Marinho CC, Campos EA, Guimarães JRD, Esteves FA. Effect of sediment composition on methane concentration and production in the transition zone of a mangrove (Sepetiba Bay, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil). *Braz J Biol*. 2012; 72(3): 429-436. doi: 10.1590/S1519-69842012000300003.

29. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Advanced course. 2nd Edition, Madison, Wisconsin, USA. 1969.

30. Reddy KR, D'Angelo EM. Biogeochemical indicators to evaluate pollutant removal efficiency in constructed wetlands. *Water Sci Technol*. 1997; 35(5): 1-10.

31. Mukherjee N, Dahdouh-Guebas F, Kapoor V, et al. From bathymetry to bioshields: a review of post-tsunami ecological research in India and its implications for policy. *Environ Manage*. 2010; 46: 329-339. doi: 10.1007/s00267-010-9523-1

32. GreenTech Consultants. Seasonal Variation in Water Quality in Batticaloa Lagoon, including the Identification of the most likely Sources of key Pollutants Assayed and Recommendations to Improve the Future Water Quality of the Lagoon. Final Report. NEC/PO/TECS(II)/08/05, NECCDEP/GreenTech Consultants, (NECCDEP). 2009: 80.

33. Harris JM, Vinobaba P. Seasonal influence of water quality of Batticaloa Lagoon, Sri Lanka on fish and plankton abundance. *Int J Environ Sci*. 2012; 3: 371-385. doi: 10.6088/ijes.2012030131035

34. Gong W, Ong J. Plant biomass and nutrient flux in a managed mangrove forest in Malaysia. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*. 1990; 31: 519–530. doi: 10.1016/0272-7714(90)90010-O

35. Wakushima S, Kuraishi S, Sakurai N. Soil salinity and pH in Japanese mangrove forests and growth of cultivated Mangrove plants in different soil conditions. *J Plant Res*. 1994; 107: 39-46.

36. Karunathilake KMBC. Status of mangroves in Sri Lanka. *J Coast Develop*. 2003; 7: 5-9.

37. Jayatissa LP, Wickramasinghe WAADL, Dahdouh-Guebas F, Huxham M. Interspecific variations in responses of mangrove seedlings to two contrasting salinities. *Int Rev Hydrobio*. 2008; 93: 700-710. doi: 10.1002/iroh.200711017

38. Shete A, Gunale VR, Pandit GG. Bioaccumulation of Zn and Pb in *Avicennia marina* (Forsk.) Vierh. and *Sonneratiaapetala* Buch. Ham. from Urban Areas of Mumbai (Bombay), India. *J Appl Sci Environ Management*. 2007; 3: 109-112. doi: 10.4314/jasem.v11i3.55142

39. Jayakody JMAL, Amarasinghe MD, Pahala Wattaarachchi V, de Silva KHWL. Vegetation structure and potential gross primary productivity of mangroves at Kadolkele in Meegamuwa (Negombo) estuary, Sri Lanka. *Sri Lanka Journal of Aquatic Sciences*. 2008; 13: 95-108. doi: 10.4038/sljas.v13i0.2209

40. Guhathakurta H, Kaviraj A. Effects of salinity and mangrove detritus on desorption of metals from brackish water pond sediment and bioaccumulation in fish and shrimp. *Acta Hydrochimicaet Hydrobiologia*. 2005; 32: 411-418. doi: 10.1002/aheh.200400546

41. Zyadah MA. Effect of human impact and pollution on the marine biodiversity in Arabian Gulf Coast, S.A. 26th meeting of Saudi Biological Society. 2011.

42. Hopkins W, Hüner N. Introduction to Plant Physiology. 3rd edition. John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA. 2004: 355.

43. Li R, Chai M, Qiu GY. Distribution, Fraction, and Ecological Assessment of Heavy Metals in Sediment-Plant System in Mangrove Forest, South China Sea. *PLoS One*. 2016; 11(1): e0147308. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0147308

44. Chen Q, Zhao Q, Li J, Jian S, Ren H. Mangrove succession enriches the sediment microbial community in South China. *Sci Rep*. 2016; 6; 6: 27468. doi: 10.1038/srep27468

45. Sultan SE. Phenotypic plasticity for plant development, function and life history. *Trends in Plant Science*. 2000; 5: 537-542. doi: 10.1016/S1360-1385(00)01797-0

46. Khan AM, Kumar A. Impact of 'urban development' on mangrove forests along the west coast of the Arabian Gulf. *Earth Science India*. 2009; 2: 159-173.

47. Campbell J, Taylor M, Stephenson T, Watson R, Whyte F. Future climate of the Caribbean from a regional climate model. *Int J Climatol*. 2010; 31: 1866-1878. doi: 10.1002/joc.2200

48. Zahed MA, Ruhani F, Mohajeri S. An overview of Iranian mangrove ecosystem, northern part of the Persian Gulf and Oman Sea. *Acta Ecologica Sinica*. 2010; 9: 411-417. doi: 10.1016/j.chnaes.2010.03.013

49. Abd-El Monsef H, Aguib A, Smith S. Locating suitable mangrove plantation sites along the Saudi Arabia Red Sea Coast. *J Afri Earth Sci*. 2013; 83: 1-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2013.02.005

Madridge J Case Rep Stud.
ISSN: 2639-4553

Volume 2 • Issue 2 • 1000124

100